Open Letter To:	Open Letter From:
The Men of Wagga Wagga	Peter-Andrew: Nolan©
By way of Peter Nolan's Facebook and forward	Human Being. All Rights Reserved.
email from the good men of Wagga Wagga	Waiving None. Without Prejudice.
	Standing in Gods Kingdom.
	Email: peter@peternolan.com

Date: 18/09/2010	Subject: Subjects Related to the criminal activities of the 'Family Courts'
	in the western world, especially Australia.

To all those men who would call themselves honest men of honour and integrity who were born in or near or who live in or near the town known as Wagga Wagga in what you believe is a state called New South Wales.

Greetings and Salutations.

For many of you this will be the first time you will have heard from me in a long time. For some of you? You will not know me at all. I left Wagga Wagga as an 18 year old in 1982. Off to seek my 'fame and fortune' in the big wide world. Well? I have done that and then some.

Should you wish to read a little about my background feel free to go here. www.peternolan.com.

Simply put I was one of three boys born to one John Nolan who is very well known in the town of Wagga Wagga. Many members of my extended family, the Nolans and the Castles, still live in Wagga Wagga. Many of you will know members of my family.

So? You probably have questions like:

- 1. Why is Peter Nolan writing an open letter to all the men of Wagga Wagga?
- 2. What has this got to do with me?
- 3. Is this something I should take notice of?
- 4. Is there anything he is asking me to do that I might consider?

The short answers would be:

- 1. See the rest of this letter and my website as background.
- 2. Everything. This is one of the most important letters you are ever going to read in your life.
- 3. Absolutely. If you ignore this letter you will do you and your loved ones great dis-service.
- 4. Yes. I am asking you to stand up for justice, the rule of law, and to take responsibility for punishing criminals who have been robbing you and yours all your life.

So? Let me be as brief as possible so as to get to the point. Everything I say here is evidenced by mountains of evidence should you wish to actually go and read it. But most men will not. What I am telling you in this letter is everything you need to know in order to choose to act or not. If you wish to read more details feel free to do your own reading. You can start here. www.theclassifiedfiles.com.

As you read this letter you are going to wonder. Has Peter flipped his lid? The answer would be no. Many who read this will know I am a very intelligent person. I have spent about 2,500 hours over the last 2.5 years on what I am about to share with you. This is serious. Very serious indeed.

And yes, it's almost like taking the red pill in the movie 'the matrix'.

Where to start?

The best place to start, because it affects every man in Australia and most boys will be affected by this as well is 'Family Law'. The 'Family Law' and related areas in Australia are totally, completely, and utterly corrupt. And THAT is just the 'tip of the iceberg'.

In Australia it is now 'the law' (and you will find out all about that real soon now) says that you, as a man, can have your property taken from you by 'the courts' on nothing more than the 'false allegation' of a woman claiming an affair with you. No co-habitation is necessary. This 'law' passed without a murmur. If you actually DO co-habit with a woman in Australia this 'equal' person gains property rights from you from the instant she moves in. In many places in Australia she is the equivalent of being 'married' to you inside 6 months. Apparently this is for the 'benefit' of her supposedly giving you sex. Since women know they are trading money for sex there is a name for this. It is called 'prostitution'. Your women are well aware that they are gaining 'property rights' through the courts by living with you men. They like this arrangement. If you don't believe me? You should try asking some of them to give back the money the 'courts' have given them unlawfully.

Let's add some more common crimes women commit that the 'law' says they can do with impunity.

1. A woman can commit perjury across a whole host of 'legal' areas such as family court, false rape allegations, false sexual harassment allegations, false domestic violence allegations, and false allegations of child abuse up to and including pedophilia.

Your 'equal women' will not be prosecuted for the crime of perjury in ANY of these areas. Indeed, women can reasonably expect to commit murder in Australia without fear of serious punishment if she claims the man 'abused' her.

In Australia a woman recently killed a man, cut him up, spread his body around the countryside, and was not charged with any crime because she claimed 'abuse'. Only problem is, her mother, with whom she lived, could not find a single witness whom she had told of this 'endless abuse' prior to the murder. The 'abuse' story only came out AFTER the murder was discovered. How convenient. A dead man who was no in a position to defend himself is accused of 'abuse'.

2. In NSW the legislation says that a complaint of 'domestic violence' carries a 'mandatory arrest' of the man. It has been this way since 1996. Did you know that? I didn't. Indeed, when my lawyer told me that in 1996 I was shocked and amazed because I can tell you that this legislation was passed without a breath of it in the media. You want to ask yourself. How could 'legislation' that make arrest of men MANDATORY without evidence of ANY SORT make it into practice without ONE WORD being written about it in the media? Answer: The media takes it's printing orders from the 'guvment'. The 'media' are not the friends of men. Ever.

More Recently? I asked my scumbag criminal family law lawyer calling himself Justin Dowd (and yes, you can contact him here: jdowd@wattsmccray.com.au or here: 02 9635 4266) to dig out a copy of this legislation for me because, as skillful as I am in doing research I could not find a copy of this anywhere on the web. Justin charged me \$A500 to do this 'research', like it should take more than an hour to look up that piece of legislation. Then he refused to give it to me because I told him I was going to publish it.

And, GET THIS. <u>HE GAVE ME BACK MY \$500 RATHER THAN GIVE ME A COPY OF THIS LEGISLATION.</u>

Gentlemen? When was the last time you heard of a lawyer giving back \$A500 to be able to refuse to give you a copy of legislation that the government and ALL POLICE OFFICERS claim they can enforce on you?

Does THAT tell you these criminal scumbags are full of shit or what?.

Every man who reads this letter is WELL ADVISED to ring up the local police in Wagga Wagga and <u>**DEMAND**</u> copies of the legislation that <u>**THEY SAY**</u> gives them the power to <u>**ARREST YOU**</u> <u>**AND LOCK YOU UP**</u> based on lies from a woman.

Go ahead. Pick up the phone and call....NOW!

- 3. In the workplace women know full well they can 'shave their hours' or 'get a man to do their job for them' with complete impunity. I am reminded of the woman who stole money from her employer, a car rental company, who was re-instated because "her employer didn't tell her that stealing money might lead to dismissal". Yep, from murder to theft women can do it with pretty much complete impunity.
- 4. Recently, in Melbourne, a woman who was involved in a bank robbery was not jailed because the FEMALE judge ruled 'incarceration would interfere with her lifestyle'. No shit?!?! Incarceration interferes with the lifestyle of a whole lot of men too. But have YOU ever heard that excuse for a man not to be jailed? I haven't.

Gentlemen. Women commit crimes with complete impunity. They know it. Yet they claim 'equality'. Well? Would not equality include 'men and women are equal before the law'? Apparently not. More on that later. But is it the women? Or is there something FAR more sinister going on. After all. Most of the men letting these women commit crimes with impunity are men. Well? Guess what? Some men are scumbags. Real scumbags. And they want to rob you and oppress you. This will come as no surprise to you. When you were little you, like me, were taught some men are criminals and they will rob you. You were just not told which ones. Well? I'm going to point some out for you.

Gentlemen.

Every single one of you knows a man who has got a 'rough deal' in the family court. You can not be over the age of 21 in Australia and NOT know a man who claims he got a 'rough deal' from the Family Court. You, like me, might just assume that the process is 'reasonably fair' and that these men are 'just whinging'.

Well? Let me tell you. The Family Courts are the single most corrupt entity of the government that there is. The reason they hold their sessions in secret is that they are committing endless crimes. They muzzle men by threatening to adjust the 'child access orders' to take their kids off them. Those men who speak out are often jailed. They are often then persecuted via the tax office and Child Support Agency. One Men's organization in Australia places the death rate of men from the abuse of the Family Court and CSA at 4,000 per year. Did you know that the 'Family Law' that operates in Australia was lifted, in some cases WORD for WORD, from Bolshevick Family Law that was introduced by Lenin into Russia in 1917. As hard as it is to believe given what you have been told, you are living under communist family law. And this has been well proven. You might want to ask yourself. Why do we have communist Family Law? How did we get that since we were in a 'cold war' with the communists in 1975 when comrade, oh, I mean Prime Minister Whitlam, got the Family law re-written.

Well? The truth is we were never in a 'cold war' with the communists. We are all communists now. And I can prove it for those who want the proof.

Gentlemen. Let me tell you. I was lied to and told the Family Court was 'fair' when I engaged scumbag criminal family law lawyer Jackie Vincent. (And yes feel free to contact her here: jvincent@wattsmccray.com.au or here: 02 9635 4266 and ask her if she told me the 'Family Law' system was fair. Go ahead. Give her a call and ask her if she told me it was 'fair'. Hear it for yourself 'from the horses mouth' Ask Jackie Vincent if she told me, Peter Nolan, that family law was 'fair' in November 2007. See what she tells you.)

I moved ahead believing what I was told and thinking that those men who had 'complained' must just be 'bitter' and 'angry' like the women lie those men are. They are not 'bitter' but they are 'angry'. Most of them are victims of crimes which I will explain. They have every right to be 'angry'. And ALL you men reading this have been victims of the most massive crime in history. You are ALL victims of crimes and it's about time someone told you this so you can do something about it.

In my case the 'final result' from the 'Family Court' is that the scumbag criminal calling himself David Dunkley, also masquerading as an 'Australian Federal Magistrate' sent 95%, yes, you read that correctly, <u>95%</u> of the proceeds of 26 years of labour to Jennifer Toal. Not even the most ardent scumbag 'feminist' can find a justification for <u>95%</u> being given to the wife. That is, most clearly, a crime. But I can assure you, plenty of women have <u>TRIED</u> to justify that one!! LOL!!

For those of you who do not know. Jennifer Toal is the daughter of Bill and Irene Toal. They live at 23 Fernleigh Road Wagga Wagga. Bills email address is bill_toal@hotmail.com and their phone number is 02 69 25 4041. Bill and Irene are fully aware and fully supportive of Jennifer's crimes of perjury, kidnapping, extortion and theft against me. They are *FULLY SUPPORTIVE* of the idea that their now ex-son-in law should receive 5% of the proceeds of his 26 years of labour and their daughter 95%.

They are also *FULLY SUPPORTIVE* of the crimes their son Michael Toal is committing against me which include false allegations of being a paedophile, false allegations of 'stalking' (amusing since I am in London and Michael is alleging I am stalking the criminal scumbag David Dunkley), as well as sending emails to business partners making more false allegations. Michael is committing a series of crimes for which he will be brought to account. The issue is that his father, Bill Toal, is *FULLY SUPPORTIVE* of these crimes. Men who receive this letter might want to call Bill and tell him just exactly what you think of the head of a family who fully supports his children committing crimes in *YOUR* community.

Those of you men who know the men who are in relationships with Susan, Kerry or Crissy Toal might like to tell those men that Bill and Irene fully support their daughters when they commit crimes against a husband of their daughter. You might also tell them that Bill and Irene fully support their obviously unstable son from weighing into such disputes even though they have nothing to do with him. I will be writing a separate letter on the crimes of the Toal family just to make sure people know and understand how the Toal family really is and not how they present themselves to the community.

So yes, Gentlemen. You read that correctly.

The scumbag criminal David Dunkley, also calling himself "Australian Federal Magistrate David Dunkley" contrived to send 95% of my assets to Jennifer. So all you men should tell every young man you know that 5% is the new 50% if you happen to be a man. ;-)

Don't believe me? To prove my claims just what a criminal scumbag David Dunkley is I have placed on my website www.peternolan.com the video recording I made at the so called 'court hearing' with this criminal scumbag. I have also annotated the transcript of that 'meeting'. I have published the 'order' made out by David as well. The proof that David Dunkley is a scumbag criminal comes from his mouth and his pen. Signed and sealed with HIS seal. Read his words for yourself. He 'hangs himself'. He could not have made it easier for me to gather evidence of his crimes if he tried.

To give you and idea of this achievement? I believe I am the first man in Australia to stand his ground with a video recorder in front of a Magistrate committing crimes and publishing it to the web. I believe I am also the first man to publish an annotated transcript of the 'meeting' to the web as well. Some man with balls had to do this. It might as well be me. Those of you who knew me as a kid knew me to be a kid with balls who could not stand injustice. It seems perfectly sensible that 28 years later it would be me to publish this stuff. Especially since the biggest criminal scumbag of the lot is Kevin Rudd. Kevin and I happen to BOTH have lived in Uranquinty at the same time. Kevin Rudd brings dis-honour on the small town from whence my forbears came. I am going to set that right as well. Kevin is in line for a 'Treason' charge from me. You can read my letter to Kevin Rudd and Robert McClelland on my web site if you like. That this is the first time ever in Australia that said information has been released means it should be *COMPELLING* reading and viewing for *ALL MEN IN AUSTRALIA*.

I have also published many documents relating to the divorce case in Dublin, Ireland, and this presents the evidence of the crimes of perjury, kidnapping, extortion and theft committed by Jennifer Toal against me. Again. These are all crimes that Bill and Irene Toal <u>FULLY SUPPORTED</u> since they are alleged by Jennifer to have loaned her \$A35,000 to pay for solicitors fees despite the fact Jennifer had ready access to the funds of the family home to pay said lawyers fees. Jennifer refused to allow ANY funds to be freed from the proceeds of the house and had her father out in the Wagga Wagga summer heat washing cars to send her money so she could give it to lawyer so that she could commit crimes. THAT is the kind of scumbag family the Toal Family is.

This letter is about the criminal scumbag David Dunkley, not Toals. I will deal with the Toal family in the fullness of time.

So. By now you might be asking:

"Um, Peter, can you please explain to me how it might be possible for a magistrate to claim that 'fair' is sending 95% of the assets of a family to the wife. I mean there is no way that a man who has worked for 26 years in a stellar career, who has worked in 200+ companies in 20+ countries, who renovated two houses without the help of his wife, who paid for two step-children, while his wife refused to work for 14 years of an 18 year marriage, could POSSIBLY be given only 5%. This can't be true. Can it?"

Well? Let me share with you. It is true all right. And MORE than that, it was done as 'punishment' and in an effort to stop me from telling you men what I am about to tell you. They took all my 'money' off me to try and stop me from getting the message out. You can thank me later. Now it's time for business.

David made a <u>MASSIVE</u> mistake. What he <u>COULD</u> have done is split the assets 60/40 so that my argument was 'dead in the water' and that the split of assets was seen to be 'fair'. <u>THEN</u> he could have discredited me as a 'wacko'. But since he was stupid enough to make the split 95% to 5% he added to the evidence I am presenting to you men. No-one can call 95% vs 5% 'fair.

Here is the 'bombshell' that you men are going to find very hard to deal with.

The 'guvment', the legal system, the police, the mainstream media, the banks and the medical profession (as well as others but that is a good start) are all part of what you might call a 'control grid' to enslave men and to keep you working all your lives.

The idea is to keep you men working so hard and so busy you never get a chance to stop, look up, and wonder to yourself "who the hell is really in charge around here because it's not me."

I got the time to stop and think about this when I was suicidal due to the process of dis-owning my former children. And gentlemen, there are many men who do NOT come out the other side of feeling suicidal over having their children forcibly taken from them against their will. Most of you will know a man, or know of a man, who has committed suicide in divorce. One of my workmates did this in 1997. I did not realize how bad it was back then and I am ashamed to say that. As ALL MEN who do not know the corruption of the Family Court should be ashamed of themselves for having not taken closer notice of the complaints of men coming out of the family court area.

Certainly I am most disgusted in myself for not having taken notice sooner. Like you I assumed that if there was a real problem the main stream media would have reported it. Well? Let me tell you. The mainstream media is *FULLY* in the pocket of your guvment. They do not print *ONE WORD* that is not sanctioned by your 'guvment'. And I do not mean those criminal scumbags like Howard, Rudd, Gillard, Abbot. They are puppets. I mean your *REAL* guvment. The one you know nothing about. The one I know *ALL* about now.

Now...When you read the transcript of my 'meeting' with David Dunkley and you watch the video of the 'meeting' you will see things you do not understand. This is to be expected. No-one has told you what is really happening. For example. You will see make many offers to step into the court so long as David agrees I may do so with my inalienable rights in tact.

So. Let me explain what you will see and read from this 'court meeting':

- Inalienable rights or un-alienable rights are rights that no man may place a 'lien' against. That
 is, no one can take these rights from you. You have to give them away. These include, but are
 not limited to, your right to life, liberty and enjoyment of your property. These rights have been
 recognized for a long time now.
 - "Thou Shalt Not Kill" implies a right to life.
 - "Thou Shalt Not Steal" implies are right to enjoyment of your property.

Most men would have heard of those two things. They are, of course, two of the Ten Commandments. These commandments tell you that these rights are 'inalienable' because God himself made these commandments (well, that is another story in itself) and that means scumbag criminals like David Dunkley do not get to violate 'Thou Shalt Not Steal'.

2. What is the 'court'? Not many men know this. When you look at a court there is always some 'barrier', like a balustrade, that you must walk between to get into the 'court'. The place you sit is 'in the court' and the public gallery is in the court room but outside the court. The place for the 'defendant' is in a place called 'the dock'. What else goes into a 'dock'? Well a ship of course. You approach the 'bar'. Where else do you see a 'bar'? Well you see them at the beach, and you see them in rivers. They are called 'sand bars'.

So. What is a court? <u>THEY</u> say that a court is a 'ship' that is 'on water' and that if you voluntarily step off the land onto <u>THEIR</u> ship then the magistrate is now acting as the captain of a pirate ship and <u>YOU</u> just volunteered to be a crew man on <u>HIS</u> pirate ship. And we all know what 'rights' a crew man has on pirate ship. The captain can make him 'walk the plank' and he's 'shit out of luck'.

The 'court' runs a set of rules called 'Uniform Commercial Code'. They are not courts of justice at all. Indeed the thing called 'The Commonwealth of Australia' is a registered legal entity on the US Securities and Exchange Commission. It is NOT a sovereign country. It is a corporation that is fully owned by the 'banksters'.

Because it is registered on the US Securities and Exchange Commission it runs the Uniform Commercial Code. And like all companies this company is run for profit and for the benefit of it's owners. Let me give you a real big hint as to who it is run for. **NOT YOU!!**

As George Carlin said: "It's a big club and YOU AINT IN IT!"

So. On the video? What you see is me offering to enter the court, meaning step onto David's pirate ship, as long as my inalienable rights, specifically my right to property but liberty and life as well, were not affected by this action. I made it clear to David that I knew his court was 'on water' and that he was a scumbag pirate. <u>THAT</u> is why he refused my offer more than <u>SIX</u> times. You can read in the transcript just how hard he refuses to accept my offer of stepping into the court with his agreement that my inalienable rights remain in tact.

Further, that is why he tried all sorts of intimidation such as "if you do not give me your documents (meaning step onto the ship to give him my documents) then the case will go forward undefended". Undefended? I was not charged with any crime. There was not case against me. I was not a 'defendant'. You will see in the transcript that scumbag criminal Sarah Bevan refers to Jennifer as 'the innocent party' a number of times over. That makes me the 'guilty party'. "Guilty of WHAT?" You might ask. Well, guilty of having the bad judgment to be born with a penis, that's what I was guilty of!! Just like you, sir, are guilty of having a penis as well. <u>You BAD MAN YOU!!!</u>

If you read the annotated transcript of my 'court meeting' and you watch the video to make sure it is real, and you read the 'court order', you will see David Dunkley call 'inalienable rights' a nonsense as well as to call them 'inanities'. He means <u>YOUR</u> right to life, <u>YOUR</u> right to liberty, <u>YOUR</u> right to the enjoyment of your property. You <u>SHOULD</u> be real angry that someone who is taking money to <u>PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS</u> is telling you <u>YOU DON'T HAVE ANY</u>! What the hell are you paying him for exactly? Telling you <u>YOU HAVE NO RIGHTS??</u>

So. I have only one question at this stage. Do you agree with David you have no right to life, liberty, or the enjoyment of your property? Yes or no? And if the answer is NO. Then my question is "are you willing to do something about that?" If the answer to THAT question is 'YES'? Read on.

If 'no'? Stop reading. You are happy to be a slave and I will leave you to your slavery. I don't want to talk to men who want to be slaves. I've talked to enough of them already.

So. By now you must be asking yourself? What the hell does this all mean and how the hell did all this come about?

Well? Those who like men to be their slaves have developed a system of slavery where it's hard to actually notice the 'bars of the cage'. If I was writing this 300 years ago you would know you were a slave. More correctly. A Serf. You would know that if you told the king "I don't like being your serf" that the king would say "off with his head" and that would be the end of you. If we were having this conversation 4,000 years ago and we were working on the pyramids in Egypt? You would know that if you complained about building a pyramid all your life the 'guard' (aka policeman) would run you through with a spear and then ask 'does anyone else have a problem working for a living?' and no-one would.

But what of today? Surely we are not still slaves. We are free, right? Well? Are you?

"There are none so hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free." Gothe.

You spend all your life working. For a man these are two choices in life:

- Work Hard.
- 2. Work Harder.

We have known this all our lives. But here is the question. Why? Who benefits from our labour? Well? **NOT YOU!!** That's for sure.

Where does all your 'money' go? Well? 30-50% of it goes in 'taxes'. About 20-30% goes in 'mortgages or rent'. And the rest goes on overpriced food and a few other things. Hhhmmmm. Ok. You still with me? <u>WHO</u> gets your 'taxes' and 'mortgage payments'? Well? The banksters of course. The banksters who <u>OWN YOUR GUVMENT</u>. The <u>REAL</u> guvment behind your <u>FAKE</u> guvment. <u>THAT'S</u> who gets your 'money'.

And do they take your money off you because they want the money? No. They have more 'money' than God. They take your money off you to keep control of you. They take your money off you to make sure you are too busy working to notice they are stealing from you. They have turned you into a D-Cell battery, just like the matrix, and you keep yourself in there. THAT is what is happening. Now? Add to that 'alimony and child support' and you will know men who are living in poverty while the wifey and kids are shacked up with some new romeo living on the proceeds of the ex-husbands labour. And what the banksters want is to *KEEP THESE MEN DOWN*.

By the way. You think that is 'money' you get paid with? I have more 'news' for you. You are not paid with 'money'. You are paid with 'legal tender'. And there is WHOLE lot of difference between 'money' and 'legal tender'. But just stop and think. You have heard the term 'legal tender' and you have been told all your life that 'legal tender' and 'money' are the same thing. Well? That is a lie. They are NOT the same thing.

You could ask yourself. Why have I been lied to and told that 'legal tender' and 'money' are the same thing? Indeed. Why have I never been educated on what 'money' really is? Why do the guvment want to keep me ignorant about what 'money' is? They want to keep you ignorant about what 'money' is so that you never realize that you don't have any and can not possibly get any. THAT'S why they never tell you about 'money' and only tell you about 'legal tender' and why they lie to you and tell you that 'legal tender' and 'money' are the same things. Those colourful plastic notes you have in Australia that you pass around? That is 'legal tender'. It is NOT 'money'. How about that?

Now. I hear you say. Hang on Peter. My mortgage payments don't go to the bank. They go to me eventually. I get to own my house in the end. Really? You think you get to own your house? Well? If I 'owned' my house how come the 'court' could sell it against my written non-consent? Hmmm. Did I really own that house? No. I didn't. In fact, in Australia? You can't own <u>ANYTHING</u> until you file a UCC-1-Financing Statement and the associated contracts. You will find these documents on www.peternolan.com under the 'sovereign' tab.

The truth is that the <u>GUVMENT OWNS EVERYTHING</u> and the banksters own the 'guvment'. There is <u>NO PRIVATE PROPERTY IN AUSTRALIA. NONE.</u> You slave away your whole life for your lords and masters. They lie to you and tell you that they are your 'servants'. And you are enslaved in a cage with bars you can not see.

And here is the really despicable part. Your women know this. And they like having you men as slaves. Your women are the second major beneficiaries of the slavery of men, and they like it. Boy, did *THAT* upset me. To know our women have betrayed us. Very *BIG* revelation.

So. The guvment owns everything and the banksters own the guvment. As hard as that is to believe, it is true. This is why, when a woman runs to the 'Family Court' to screw the man over as much as possible the 'court' will give her a whole lot of money and the kids and screw the man over as much as possible.

Men have been 'complaining' to the guvment for a long time about these clear crimes and things just get worse. Why? Because the situation is **EXACTLY AS THE GUVMENT WANTS** it to be. Not scumbag puppets like Rudd, Abbot and Gillard. You **REAL** guvment. The banksters that bought and paid for Rudd, Gillard and Abbot when they were but pups.

How many men here know that Julia Gillard and most of the senior members of the judiciary have been lawfully and properly charged with misprision to TREASON! That is certain members of your guvment have been charged with TREASON and Julia Gillard, you might have heard of her, she is your **PRIME MINISTER**, is also charged with not revealing this treason.

That is misprision to treason. Julia Gillard, according to <u>THEIR RULES</u> was not eligible to run for elected office let alone to run for PRIME MINISTER. The documents for this have been properly filed and ALL senior members of your <u>GUVMENT and OPPOSITION</u> knew <u>FULL WELL</u> that Julia Gillard was not eligible to run for office in your recent election.

Now? Do I have to paint you a picture of just how corrupt this all is? When your Prime Minister is on charges of misprision to treason and all those around her know it and ignore it? How bad does it have to get before men do something about the situation?

Now? How can you tell the guvment claims to own everything? Well? Do you what the word 'register' really means? Look at the word 'REGIS-ter'. REGIS-ter means 'KING-to'. It means transfer ownership of the property to the 'KING' for 'safe-keeping'. When ships traded? When the ship came into port the property was transferred to the ownership and protection of the KING so that he could 'tax' the shipment for providing 'safety' and 'protection'.

What else do you 'REGIS-ter'? Well here is a small list:

- 1. Your children.
- 2. Your house.
- 3. Your car.
- 4. Your 'marriage'. (You 'REGIS-ter' your marriage at the REGIS-try' office.) This means the 'guvment' owns all proceeds of the 'marriage' which is why the 'courts' decide who gets what. They are divvying up what they claim is THEIR property.
- 5. Your body when you REGIS-ter into a 'hospital'.
- 6. Your guns if you have any.
- 7. Your driving license (registration to drive is called a 'drivers license'.)
- 8. Your fishing license
- 9. Any property you 'insure'. When you 'buy insurance' you will fill in a 'registration form' for all those things you wish to 'insure'.

Are you starting to get the idea? Your guvment claims it **OWNS YOU AND YOURS**.

In fact? Every time you sign what you <u>THINK IS YOUR NAME</u> (only it is NOT your name) you are declaring that you are a slave. I bet you didn't know that.

And here's something else I bet you didn't know. When you hire a family law lawyer? They will ask you to sign a contract. It is NOT on the contract but do you know what <u>THEY SAY</u> that contract means? <u>THEY</u> say it means that you have waived <u>ALL YOUR RIGHTS</u> and declared yourself a 'ward of the state'. From that point forward? In most cases the two 'liars/lawyers' will meet separately and in secret with the 'magistrate' and they will work out the pantomime they are going to play out for the 'clients' to fool them into something called 'justice' is going to happen. And what <u>ACTUALLY HAPPENS</u>? Well? The magistrate and the two lawyers get paid a <u>LOT OF 'MONEY'</u> that the man usually has to pay for and he gets to be totally shafted.

And gentlemen. The liars/lawyers know <u>ALL ABOUT THIS</u>. When I first found out that what is called 'common law' is superior to 'legislation' scumbag criminal Justin Dowd tried to tell me that legislation was superior to common law. Bad luck for Justin that I got a speech by Robert McClelland and sent it to him that makes it clear that the ONLY law in Australia that protects property rights is COMMON LAW. A human beings PROPERTY RIGHTS can NOT be protected by legislation because human beings are <u>NOT EVEN MENTIONED</u> in legislation. When you read legislation you will see the word 'person'. And YOU THINK that means 'human being'. Guess what? It does not. It means 'juristic person' and does NOT mean a human being. I could lecture you all day on 'juristic person'.

When I gave that little speech to Justin Dowd did he apologise and say:

"Sorry Peter. I made a mistake, please allow me to make sure I tell ALL MY LAWYER MATES of this mistake that we seem to have ALL MADE."

No. He didn't the scumbag criminal. He just shut the hell up because he knew full well that common law was superior to legislation ALL ALONG.

So. Here is an exercise for all you men. I strongly recommend you do this. Ring up a Family Law liar/lawyer near you and ask him these questions. I'll give you the *RIGHT* answer. You see what your scumbag Family Law Lawyers tell you. They certainly will not tell you the RIGHT answer. That's for damn sure. Make sure you tell the liar/lawyer you are speaking *ENGLISH* and that you do NOT want your answers is *LEGALESE*. LEGALESE is THEIR language and it sounds suspiciously like ENGLISH only they have redefined words to be *DIFFERENT* to their *ENGLISH* meanings! Be particularly careful of the word 'person'. If the liar/lawyer uses the word 'person' make SURE you ask him 'when you say person do you mean the living breath soul of a man or woman or do you mean a juristic person which is not a human being at all'? THAT should really throw the bastards!

1. Does 'Family Law' apply to human beings, people, by 'force of law' or do human beings, people, get a choice to be subject to family law?

Answer: Human beings...people...like YOU....are only subject to 'family law', or ANY legislation, if you agree to it. You may agree on a case by cases basis. It is not a blanket 'yes' or 'no'. How to do this in Australia is on my web site and coming out in my book. I have proven this in the courts.

2. Does common law, that being the law based on Henry the Law giver, Magna Carta, and Bill of Rights 1688 (England) apply on the land known as Australia?

(NOT the 'Commonwealth of Australia). Make sure you are clear to the liar/lawyer you mean the LAND known as 'Australia'. If he tries to talk about 'The Commonwealth of Australia' make sure you tell him you know that is just a REGIS-tered legal entity on the US Securities and Exchange Commission and has nothing to do what-so-ever with the land known as 'Australia'.

Answer: Yes. It does. And it is superior to ALL LEGISLATION. Robert McClelland has even said so in a speech and cited numerous cases in Australia that this is the case.

3. When a human being signs a contract with a Family Law Lawyer does the Family Law Lawyer take that to mean the human being has waived all inalienable rights, declared themselves a ward of the state, and put their entire case into the hands of the Lawyer?

Answer: Yes. That is EXACTLY what they say that means.

4. Do Family Law Liars/Lawyers make an oath to the 'Law Society' and does that oath include always working in the best interests of the 'Law Society' and do these interests over-ride the best interests of the client?

Answer: Yes. That is EXACTLY the oath they take. The client is a distant second if considered at all.

5. Is 'Family Law' even 'law'? As in is family law 'the law of the land' which is actually common law? Or is Family Law legislation and misleadingly called 'Statute Law' with the word 'statute' left off to create the unlawful and wrongful impression that 'Family Law' is 'LAW'? Is 'Family Law' statutes and legislation that we get a choice to use or is it 'the law of the land' that we 'must obey'.

Answer: 'Family Law', like all things created by 'guvment' is 'Statute Law' and it is 'Legislation'.
NONE of this applies to human beings, people, EVER. NOT EVER. Statutes and legislation are the rules of a corporation. In Australia? The corporation is 'The Commonwealth of Australia' and it is a registered legal entity on the US Securities and Exchange Commission. Therefore operating under Uniform Commercial Code. NONE of it can apply to a human being in the normal use of English. A living breathing soul like you is NOT subject to the rule of the corporation calling itself 'The Commonwealth of Australia' unless you consent.

6. Do members of the 'LAW Society' have exclusive rights and access to 'the law of the land'?

Answer: No. All sovereigns have access to 'the law' by means of affidavits. All sovereigns can 'perform the due process of law'.

Do you know why they call it 'practicing law'? Because they are not allowed to **PERFORM THE PROCESS OF LAW.** 'LAW-yers' have **NO ACCESS TO LAW.** How about that?!?!?

They call is 'practicing' so there can be no accusation they are actually **PERFORMING LAW!**

It is like football. If you are at a football ground with some mates and you are 'practicing football' are you actually PLAYING football? No. Of course not. You know that when you are 'practicing football' it is not the REAL THING. The same for 'LAW'. When you are 'practicing law' you are NOT doing 'the real thing'. How about that?!?!

Now. There are 6 REALLY good questions for you to ring up and ask your local 'Family Law' liar/lawyer. Put him/her on the spot. See what he/she has to say about those questions. Watch them absolutely SHIT themselves!! LOL!!

Moving on? What does this have to do with you? Well? Your guvment, banking, legal, police and medical systems are all designed to make you a slave. The one question for you to answer is:

"Do I want to be a slave?"

And if you are here the answer is likely: 'NO'.

What can you do about this? Well? I am glad you asked me that question.

The scumbag criminals David Dunkley, and Louise Henderson made a mistake. They thought I was just your average 'Norm Smith' and that I would roll over when screwed like more than a million other men who have been worked over by 'Family Law' in Australia. They didn't count on me being willing to risk all the 'money' I had accumulated in order to expose their crimes and then punish them for their crimes.

I have spent a great deal of time collecting my evidence so I can put these two people on trial. After all:

"All men (and women by their claim) are equal before the law"

"Ignorance of the law is no excuse." (And I would argue, especially for a magistrate.)

I am going to hold the trials of David Dunkley and Louise Henderson in the auditorium of Mount Austin High School. It will be performed under Common Law jurisdiction. A jurisdiction that Louise Henderson and David Dunkley have agreed that they can be tried under as they have BOTH accepted positions as magistrates for 'The Commonwealth of Australia' which they BOTH know must operate in harmony and subservient to the underlying 'law of the land', that being common law. Their boss, Robert McClelland has given speeches saying that common law exists in Australia, so there is no excuse for them to claim they don't know what common law is.

Indeed. I found out about common law by myself inside 3 months. You can not learn thing one about legislation and statutes and Uniform Commercial Code without noticing that it says 'in harmony with underlying law systems'. Which would immediately beg the question "Which law system is that in Australia"? That turned out to be common law for the 'english' side of Australia.

What I will be seeking in Wagga Wagga are 12 honest men of honour and integrity who are wiling to sit on the jury to fairly and justly try David Dunkley and Louise Henderson for the common law crime of theft. I claim this is a crime because 'Thou Shalt Not Steal' is one of the 10 commandments which people have accepted makes stealing a crime for 4,000+ years.

Neither Louise Henderson nor David Dunkley can claim that they did not know stealing was a crime that might well be punished.

Men. As far as I know I am the first man in the country to get this far. I am the first man in the country to develop enough evidence of magistrates committing crimes and being ready to bring them to trial. It should be obvious that the crimes of the 'legal fraternity' are very wide spread and that lots of judges and magistrates have committed crimes. Indeed, pretty much ALL the senior members of the 'legal fraternity' are now charged with treason or mis-prison to treason. Each of these carries lifetime in prison as punishment. But no-one else in the country has moved forward so far as myself against sitting magistrates.

The men of Wagga Wagga, by way of me happening to be born there, have the opportunity to make 'the will of the people' heard all over the land known as Australia. This is the opportunity for men of Wagga to be the "Mark Taylor" of the "rule of law" in your country. You have the opportunity to set the future direction of your land. You can set it to be 'the rule of law that is just, right and true'.

Are you an honest man of honour and integrity who will not brook scumbag criminals, especially those masquerading as members of the 'law society', stealing the property of men?

If you are then send an email to peter@peternolan.com and volunteer for the jury for my case. I am the plaintiff and as such I can only present the evidence. The jury must consist of 12 men who do not have a financial interest one way or the other who will fairly and justly decide if a crime was committed. You will be under oath to do what you believe is justice. I am not looking for favours from anyone. Indeed, what I am doing is for Australian men more than me. I will never live in Australia again.

Just to help you? Louise and David have already declared their guilt. I am just looking for the jury to ratify their declaration. Essentially you will be sitting on a jury to decide what to do with a criminal who claimed to be a magistrate who committed the common law crime of theft. David and Louise will be given ample opportunity to state their defence. It won't take long because they don't have one.

And in doing so? You will set the precedent for ALL Australians to be able to punish ALL criminals. Especially the criminals in your guvment, banking sector, legal sector, policing sector, main stream media and medical community.

Gentlemen. Holding judges and magistrates to account for their crimes is one of the most important jobs in any country. Once the judges and magistrates start committing crimes, and they have been doing so for a long time now, 100+ years in Australia, you slowly slide into tyranny. And that is the situation now.

You men live in the tyranny of the 'Family Court' and the CSA. You live in the tyranny of high taxes, higher mortgages and policy enforcement officers of a private corporation (the scumbags who wear the sign 'police' on their uniforms) telling you what you can and can not do.

They 'fine' you and demand their 'legal tender' for 'offences' such as driving you car down an empty road what they tell you is 'too fast'. Pretty much every area of your life is now 'regulated'. You are not 'allowed' to drink the beer you would like at the cricket. You are not allowed to drive you car perfectly safely without a seat belt. You are paying taxes over taxes over more taxes. They tell you that you have to put 9% of your 'income' into 'superannuation' and tell you that you are not allowed to plan for your own retirement. Do you **REALLY** think your 'money' will be there when you are old? Are you **REALLY** that stupid?

Every single one of you knows that pretty much every politician you ever knew was a liar. But when you put 100+ of them into a building and call them your 'guvment' you, for some reason, think they are suddenly *HONEST*?

The reasons your politicians become politicians is because they are scumbag criminals. And in making up their 'laws' which they lie to you about telling you that you have to obey they have become scumbag criminals robbing you. Pretty much ALL your politicians know this despite there lies in denying such. Just like ALL Lawyers know that common law is superior to statutes and legislation but they will lie to your face about that to line their own pockets.

Just remember. The people who passed the legislation that <u>YOU WILL BE MANDATORILY</u> <u>ARRESTED</u> on the basis of a lie from a woman were pretty much <u>ALL MEN</u>. Those who passed the legislation that steals your money off you in divorce were practically <u>ALL MEN</u>. You are being robbed and oppressed by MEN via the agency of greedy and malicious women. These are ideas that it should be REAL EASY to get your mind around. The way to stop this is to hold David Dunkley and Louise Henderson accountable for the crimes they committed against me. That will be a good start. Then you can set up courts and dispense justice for every other man in Wagga robbed by a perjurous wife and a criminal magistrate. THEN you can go after the biggest criminal scumbags in Australia. The politicians.

By the way. If you go out and talk to men about how bad the 'Family Law' is you will hear just how bad that tyranny is. Every man who reads this letter is well advised to call up men they have known who have been divorced and ask them 'for the real story'. You will find that MOST of them (90%+) were treated very poorly. Stop thinking they are whingers and whiners. Start actually LISTENING to them.

As in my case? You will hear they will steal up to 95% of a mans property and they will tell blatant lies like 'inalienable rights are an inanity'. Now? I bet this was all a BIG surprise to you! Let's discuss it on the forums on my web site. You might also want to read many of the documents published onto my web site and you might also want to register to my web site and participate in the discussion forums that have just been created.

By the way? I am writing a book that will show you how to divorce your wife at minimal costs, because your 'marriage license' is fraudulent and is not a valid contract under common law or even Uniform Commercial Code. I'm pretty sure lots of men are doing 'cheaper to keep her'. Well? My book will show you how to divorce her and not get raped in the 'Family Court'.

Again. If you are over the age of 30 and willing to sit on a jury at the Mount Austin High School Auditorium and fairly and justly try David Dunkley and Louise Hendereson in a peoples court of justice? Email me at peter@peternolan.com.

Best Regards

By email only.

Peter-Andrew: Nolan© Human Being Primary Creditor and Secured Party for Juristic person MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN All rights reserved, waiving none, without prejudice