

From the free man commonly called
Peter-Andrew: Nolan©,
Standing in God's Kingdom

To:

Lawful Notice To Respondent:

**The human being calling herself Louise Henderson
also acting as the Federal Magistrate Louise Henderson
Garfield Barwick Commonwealth Law Courts Building
1-3 George St, Parramatta NSW 2150
GPO Box 9991 Parramatta 2123
Australia**

Lawful Notice To Respondent:

The human being calling herself Louise Henderson
also acting as the Federal Magistrate Louise Henderson
Garfield Barwick Commonwealth Law Courts Building
1-3 George St, Parramatta NSW 2150
GPO Box 9991 Parramatta 2123
Australia

FIAT JUSTITIA, RUAT COELUM
Let Right Be Done, Though The Heavens Should Fall

*Notice to principal is notice to agent.
Notice to agent is notice to principal.*

I, commonly addressed by the calling of Peter-Andrew: Nolan©, hereinafter the "Principal", in my correct public capacity as beneficiary to the Original Jurisdiction, being of majority in age, competent to testify, a self realized and free sentient man, my yes be yes, my no be no, do state that the truths and facts herein are of first hand personal knowledge, true, correct, complete, not just true and correct, certain and not misleading, so help me God.

This Notice is addressed to the the human being calling herself Louise Henderson also acting as the Federal Magistrate Louise Henderson hereinafter known as "Respondent".

**AFFIDAVIT WITH RESPECT TO
UNLAWFUL THEFT AND SALE OF
8 SCHOFIELD PDE PENNANT HILLS
BETWEEN
JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN
AND
PETER ANDREW NOLAN**

1. Principal is of legal age and competent to testify.
2. Principal has first hand knowledge of the facts stated herein.
3. Principal makes oath that he has received an order dated the 16th of June 2008 issued by the human being calling him/her self Judicial Registrar Johnston of a Family Court dispute brought by JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN with respect to the sale of the house located at 8 SCHOFIELD PDE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120. (Copy Supplied).
4. Principal makes oath that he is aware of an invitation issued by the private run for profit company calling itself The AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL MAGISTRATES COURT inviting the corporate fiction by the NAME of PETER ANDREW NOLAN for some time in the month of November 2009.

5. Principal makes oath that he is not in possession of details of this invitation and wishes to engage in proper written communication with the human being who is the authorised agent for the private run for profit company calling itself The AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL MAGISTRATES COURT so as to peacefully and effectively conclude business proceedings.
6. Principal makes oath that he believes Respondent is the appropriate human being with which to correspond in order to peacefully and effectively conclude business proceedings.
7. Principal makes oath that the sale of the property known as 8 SCHOFIELD PDE PENNANT HILLS, NSW 2120 was performed against his written instruction that this not be done and therefore said sale progressed without the consent of PETER ANDREW NOLAN.
8. Principal makes oath that at the time of this sale solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, had at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that engaging a solicitor declared Principal a 'ward of the state'.
9. Principal makes oath that at no time did the solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, provide written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that the loyalty of Watts McCray and engaged solicitors was to the Law Society of Australia and the Family Court by OATH and that they were acting in the best interests of the Law Society of Australia and were not acting in the best interests of Principal.
10. Principal makes oath that at the time of this sale solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, had at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that they may, at their discretion, without informing Principal, engage in side conversation with other members of the Australian Law Society to create circumstances beneficial to the Australian Law Society which would also precipitate circumstances detrimental to Principal.
11. Principal makes oath that at the time of this sale solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, had at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that they had a clear conflict of interest in that as members of the Australian Law Society and under OATH to serve the best interests of that society their loyalty to the Australian Law Society conflicted with the interests of Principal.
12. Principal makes oath that at the time of this sale solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, had at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that the Australian Federal Magistrate had a clear conflict of interest in that as a member of the Australian Law Society and under OATH to serve the best interests of that society the Australian Federal Magistrates loyalty to the Australian Law Society presented a clear and undisclosed conflict of interest in any ability to judge impartially in the best interests of Principal and JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN.
13. Principal makes oath that on the day of March 7th, 2008, Principal met with representatives of Watts McCray. Principal makes oath that he had serious concerns as to the poor performance of Watts McCray in his estimation, specifically with respect of refusal to pass along requested communications, and specifically challenged the effectiveness of representation.
14. Principal makes oath that representatives of Watts McCray made many verbal comments to the effect that they were working to represent Principals interests 'effectively'.
15. Principal makes oath that a Watts McCray representative (Jacqueline Vincent) used words to the effect 'do you have confidence in our firm to represent you most effectively to the court?' in asking Principal specifically if he had confidence that Watts McCray would effectively represent Principals best interests.

16. Principal makes oath that he was under the impression that every effort was being made by Watts McCray representatives to assure him his best interests were being represented.
17. Principal makes oath that he felt at that meeting that there was 'something wrong' and that some deception was being perpetrated upon him however he could not comprehend what that deception might be.
18. Principal makes oath that at that time he was well aware that under commercial law any contract or any performance of duties that are performed in deception or as a result of deception are null and void and can be redressed via legal processes at a later date. Therefore Principal determined that the course of action to pursue was to allow the deception to stand to continue with the engagement of Watts McCray and deal with the deception at a later point in time.
19. Principal makes oath that representatives of Watts McCray made many verbal comments to the effect that the Australian Family Court and proceedings therein were 'fair and reasonable' and that I could have confidence a 'fair and reasonable' outcome would be achieved.
20. Principal makes oath that Watts McCray at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that they, the lawyers for JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN, and the Registrars and Magistrates were a part of a collusive society that worked towards its own best interests in preference to the interests of their 'clients'.
21. Principal makes oath that Watts McCray at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that the COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA is a sovereign entity registered on the UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION and is merely a privately held run for profit corporation and not, as represented, a Constitutional Monarchy run by a government working in the best interests of the people who inhabit the land mass commonly known as AUSTRALIA.
22. Principal makes oath that Watts McCray at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that the COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA FAMILY COURT is therefore merely a subsidiary of a private run for profit company that is not beholden to the will of, nor working in representation of, the people who inhabit the land mass commonly known as AUSTRALIA.
23. Principal makes oath that Watts McCray at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that the COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL MAGISTRATES COURT is therefore merely a subsidiary of a private run for profit company that is not beholden to the will of, nor working in representation of, the people who inhabit the land mass commonly known as AUSTRALIA.
24. Principal makes oath that Watts McCray at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that the FAMILY LAW ACT was merely statutes and therefore only gain the force of law with consent.
25. Principal makes oath that Watts McCray at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that Principal could simply withdraw consent to avail of the professional services of the privately held run for profit corporations known as COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA FAMILY COURT and COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL MAGISTRATES COURT.
26. Principal makes oath he was led to believe by way of deceit by omission that participation was mandatory by way of the the juristic person PETER ANDREW NOLAN having citizenship of the COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA.

27. Principal makes oath that on many occasions, and in writing, Watts McCray representatives insisted that the FAMILY LAW ACT represented LAWS and therefore committed the Common Law crime of fraud. Principal makes oath that in email correspondend Watts McCray representatives insisted that they had no comprehension of Uniform Commercial Code, the code under which the COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA operates, and that Common Law was over-ridden by statutes.
28. Principal makes oath that on many occasions, and in writing, Watts McCray representatives insisted that they are experienced in law. Princpal enters into oath the front page of the Watts McCray web site which reads as at 22nd September 2009:

At Watts McCray, our specialised Family Lawyers are leaders in their field with a wealth of practical knowledge and experience. We understand the importance of providing our clients not only with expert advice, but also guidance during what is often a difficult time in life. We are committed to helping resolve our clients' matters promptly, cost-effectively and where possible, without litigation.
29. Principal makes oath that use of the term "*leaders in their field with a wealth of practical knowledge and experience*" is clear representation that Watts McCray Lawyers are well versed in 'law' (not statutes) and that they present themselves as providing reliable advice and guidance to their clients.
30. Principal makes oath that that the private run for profit company known as the COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL MAGISTRATES COURT proceeded to sell the property known as 8 SCHOFIELD PARADE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120 despite clear conflict of interest, collusion, non-disclosure of terms and conditions of contracts, deception by omission and other nefarious mechanisms. Said company then placed proceeds of the sale of the house into a controlled account.
31. Principal makes oath that that the private run for profit company known as the COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL MAGISTRATES COURT has acted against the specific written desire of Principal in selling Principals property thereby violating Principals property rights.

Further Principal saith not,

As Good As AVAL

Peter-Andrew: Nolan© Principal. Only in the capacity as beneficiary of
the Original Jurisdiction. Third party Intervener and aggrieved party.

Done this.....Day of the Ninth Month of the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand and Nine Anno
Domini, (23rd September AD2009) near London, England.

JURAT:

ss: Sworn and subscribed near the city of London, on this day personally appeared before me Peter-
Andrew: Nolan© known to me to be the living breathing life-force free man described herein who
executed the foregoing instrument acknowledged to me that Peter-Andrew: Nolan© executed the
same as his free act and deed as true, correct complete and not misleading.

KNOW all men that I, _____ of London, England, at the request of Peter-
Andrew: Nolan© , there being no notary public available, did on the Twenty Third Day of September
2009 Anno Domini, witness the above autograph of Peter-Andrew: Nolan© before

_____ and

_____.

Yours Faithfully,
By,

(Autographed)
All rights reserved.

Witness 1

Date:

Witness 2

Notice of Intent and Proposed Remedy

This section of this document provides a notice of Intent and Proposed Remedy inviting the Respondent to peacefully and without dispute cordially resolve the matter at hand, that being the distribution of funds from the proceeds of the sale of the house known as 8 SCHOFIELD PARADE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120.

That this process has remained in dispute and large sums of money have been transferred to the Australian Law Society and Irish Law Society by the process of members of said societies advising JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN to create conflict rather than pursue a peaceful and equitable solution merely for the benefit of Law Society members has been a disappointment, though also an eye-opener. The deceitful and unlawful activities of Law Society members has not gone un-noticed, as it does most other times. Even so, it is proposed that peaceful and equitable resolution be made. It is the wish of the Free Man society to extend the hand of peace and amnesty and I, as an individual, are extending that hand of peace and amnesty to Respondent in the first instance.

A separate lawful notice is being issued to Judicial Register Johnston to pay the Bill for the Order he/she placed against Principal for the sale of the house known as 8 SCHOFIELD PARADE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120. This lawful notice is only concerned with the approximately \$A290,000 in proceeds from the sale of the house known as 8 SCHOFIELD PARADE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120.

This money is being held by Watts McCray at the current point in time.

Based on the fact that it is understood Respondent is the correct human being to correspond with to resolve this matter the Principal proposes the following Intent and Proposed Remedy.

Should Respondent be so willing to have a peaceful and amicable solution Principal desires to:

1. Discharge all outstanding loans and debts which are well evidenced by receipts, bank statements or affidavits from the \$A290,000.
2. Split the remaining money on a 50/50 basis between Principal and JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN.

Principal proposes and will agree that Watts McCray issue bank transfers to all joint creditors to juristic persons PETER ANDREW NOLAN and JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN so as to not require co-operation from JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN since none has been forthcoming for a period of nearly two years now.

Principal proposes and will agree to Respondent negotiating this proposed solution, in writing, copying Principal with the solicitors of JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN in an effort to persuade JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN that this is the best possible outcome at this point in time.

Should respondent not be willing to extend the hand of peace and co-operation to resolve this issue with the minimum of fuss Principal proposes and insists that Respondent provide Proof of Claim as follows:

1. Respondent to provide proof of claim that she has lawful (not legal) right to retain possession of the \$A290,000 currently held on account with Watts McCray.

Should the Respondent be unable or unwilling to produce proof of claim for lawful right to retain possession of the \$A290,000 the following remedy is proposed.

1. Respondent to apply her signature to a court order to transfer all \$A290,000 in favour of Christopher John Nolan. Christopher John Nolan is a man known for his honour and integrity and he will not favour Principal in distribution of proceeds. He can be relied on to distribute proceeds fairly and to the best interests of the children.

Principal further advises Respondent that Principal will enter into discussion with the father of JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN by the name of BILL TOAL (bill_toal@hotmail.com ph. 02 69 25 40 41) so as to ensure that JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN receives a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the house known as 8 SCHOFIELD PARADE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120 that is fair and equitable.

Principal suggests Respondent communicate with MR BILL TOAL, who has been known to Principal for 32 years, to assure Respondent that Principal will act fairly and honourably in all dealings with JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN.

Should the Respondent be unable or unwilling to make the proposed remedy within TWENTY (20) days of date of this Notice the Principal retains the right to obtain a default judgement from a Notary Public or to form a court de jour and present matters of fact to the court de jour to make a judgement as to any and all violations of the property rights of Principal and any remedy for those violations.

The Principal retains the right to have the court de jour make decision as to whether Respondent has lawful right to retain the proceeds from the sale of the house known as 8 SCHOFIELD PARADE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120 and as to the amount of money to be paid as remedy. Principal also retains the right to remedy should Respondent take further unlawful action such as transfer proceeds to the favour of JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN. Any such order will be met with a Bill for 10x the amount of the order against the human being who issues the order.

Principal advises Respondent that non-response is considered dis-honour under law and that Principal reserves the right to generate default judgments by Notary Public or convene a court de jour to persue remedy should Respondent choose to go into dis-honour.

Yours Sincerely
By

Peter-Andrew: Nolan©
Human Being

Signed

All Rights, Privileges and Powers Reserved
Without Prejudice

Date: _____