

<p>Fax To: The human being calling herself Louise Henderson also acting as the Federal Magistrate Louise Henderson</p> <p>The human being calling himself David Dunkley also acting as Federal Magistrate David Dunkley</p> <p>The human being calling herself Amanda Morris also acting as Associate to Federal Magistrate Louise Henderson. Garfield Barwick Commonwealth Law Courts Building 1-3 George St, Parramatta NSW 2150 GPO Box 9991 Parramatta 2123 Australia Fax: 61 (02) 9893 5600 Fax: 61 (02) 9893 5767 (No answer)</p>	<p>Fax From: Peter-Andrew: Nolan© (Human Being) Care of. Email: peter@peternolan.com</p>
---	--

Date: 31/10/2009	Subject: Inquiry with respect to Lawful Notice
------------------	--

Dear human being calling herself Amanda Morris,

Salutations. Firstly I wish to address a point of law, common law. I am in receipt of a letter mailed to the corporate fiction named "MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN" incorporated on 11/1/1964 which you mailed on 22/10/2009. It has a reference number on it of PAC6601/2007. I can confirm that I have received this letter noting that you are proposing some sort of business meeting you have called a 'Final Hearing' at the place of business of a human being calling himself David Dunkley.

I am sure you are aware that "MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN" incorporated on 11/1/1964 is a corporate entity that was created by the private run for profit company commonly called "The Commonwealth of Australia". As such, it is not possible for this corporate entity to 'appear' or any other such nonsense at any meeting. It is only possible for a human being to re-present this corporate entity. I, a human being commonly called Peter-Andrew: Nolan© am the Primary Creditor and Secured Party for this corporate entity. Therefore, if you or the human beings Louise Henderson or David Dunkley wish to conduct some sort of business relating to "MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN" then they need to address that correspondence to me Peter-Andrew: Nolan© and they need to make sure they differentiate to whom they are talking and what about.

By replying to this letter I am in no way enjoining myself to the corporate entity "MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN" incorporated on 11/1/1964 and I am responding without prejudice. I will also note that I have claimed the right not to be forced to accept undisclosed 'benefits' of an undisclosed contract.

I will accept that you made the common mistake of believing I am a person and writing to my person and not to me. You are hereby forgiven this obvious mistake. But please, in future, be clear who it is you wish to address your correspondence to if acting on behalf of human beings Louise Henderson or David Dunkley.

Further, I would ask if you would be so kind as to copy and forward this fax to both human beings Louise Henderson and David Dunkley. It concerns them both.

Dear human being calling herself Louise Henderson.

Salutations. Please note this is not a lawful notice, this is merely friendly correspondence in effort to cordially and peacefully resolve the matter of the theft of my property by you and your colleagues.

Given the obfuscation that your partners in crime at Watts McCray have perpetrated on me, I am not in possession of all correspondence between yourself, your colleagues at the private run for profit companies called The Family Court of Australia and the Australian Federal Magistrates Court.

Obviously my lawful rights can not be infringed when partners in crime conspire to pass letters between them and not then provide me a copy of all such correspondence and then claim I am liable for actions undertaken discussed in said letters. Such a claim is laughable and that is exactly what will happen should it ever come before a de jour court.

I have sent you a number of Lawful Notices to which you have not responded. I have also sent you two letters to which you have not responded. It never ceases to amaze me how women can act so unprofessionally yet put themselves forward as 'professionals'.

You women are a complete joke in the work force. You, personally Louise, pretend to be a Magistrate yet you will not respond to lawful notices? That makes you a professional joke Louise. Even burger flippers at McDonalds treat people they don't even know with more respect than you treat me.

You 'liberated women' claim you 'deserve respect'? You are a joke. You show no respect to someone corresponding to you yet you claim you somehow 'deserve respect' from them. Respect is earned Louise. And you have not earned any from me. Indeed, your continued refusal to even engage in professional correspondence puts you below burger flippers on my list of professional dealings. You don't like that? Tough. Take care of business properly and maybe you will earn some respect.

Now. As to these Lawful Notices. You are now in lawful dis-honour. That David Dunkley has now proposed a business meeting of some sort does not change your lawful dis-honour. Your lawful dis-honour will be published to the internet, and part of it has already been published in free man circles. You are being named as refusing to meet your lawful obligations.

I shall send you a default notice charging you with the theft of my property based on your refusal to provide your proof of claim that you have a higher lawful claim to my property than I as the Primary Creditor and Secured Party to "MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN" incorporated on 11/1/1964.

I certainly hope to convene a de jour court and charge you with the crime of theft and allow the court to review the facts of the matter and to determine your lawful punishment for not returning my property when lawfully asked to.

If I were you, I would now respond to this cordial and professional letter with your proposal of returning my property to me so as to mitigate any punishment that the de jour jury might see fit to allocate to you. In short, the longer you choose to be in lawful dishonour the greater the penalty I will plead of the de jour court.

Now. Do as you please. You will be held responsible for your actions.

Dear human being calling himself David Dunkley.

Salutations. Please note this letter is not a lawful notice. It is merely a professional and polite letter in pursuit of resolving the issue of the theft of my property which re-presentatives of your private run for profit company have conspired to execute against me.

Prior to getting yourself involved, you may wish to be informed as to what you are getting yourself involved with. I have no obligation to inform you of this, so call it a 'favour'.

To date, I have not seen or heard your name mentioned and therefore I have no business with you and nothing against you personally. However, should you choose to involve yourself in this matter then I shall hold you personally responsible for any and all actions you may take against me. So far only Judicial Registrar Johnston, Federal Magistrate Louise Henderson and the Attorney General are liable for claims from me. If you wish to join them in being liable for claims from me on an unlimited liability common law basis you are welcome to.

Let me share with you who you will be dealing with if you choose to commit crimes against me. I am now very well versed on the fact the Illuminati are wanting to kill around 15M Australians. Or, at least, reduce the population by 15M over a relatively short period of time. I am well aware that the Government, Legal, Banking, Medial systems are highly corrupted and are actively working towards this Genocide. I am also aware that the Legal System in Australia is merely the extortion mechanism of the Illuminati and that you operate by deciet. I am fully aware I am not a person. I am fully aware of my rights, how to claim them, and how to enforce them under common law. I have completed my Notice of Understanding and Claim of Right and it is now in effect by acquiescence. I can send you a copy if you wish to act against me.

I am fully aware that Magistrates take oath to the Australian Law Society which is a subsidiary of the British Law Society meaning that you have committed treason and that you are a senior officer of a foreign power which is currently oppressing primarily men of the land commonly called Australia. So yes, I am perfectly well aware that those in the Legal System in Australia are the exact equivalents of Nazi Officers in France circa 1942-4. Others are also well aware of this fact.

You may also wish to be informed that I have sent lawful notices to the human being calling herself Louise Henderson. Before you involve yourself you might wish to read these lawful notices. Should you choose to involve yourself you will be taking on her liability, namely, you will be charged with the crime of theft under common law and you will be brought before a de jour court to hear the facts of the matter and to face punishment by becoming an accessory to the crime after the fact.

Should you wish to accept that liability, I will require of you an affidavit signed under oath with full penalty of perjury and full commercial liability that you are prepared to take on all liability that has accrued to date to Louise Henderson and that you wish to proceed with the possibility of being incarcerated. Personally, I can't imagine why anyone would want to take on such a liability.

You may also wish to be informed that I am well aware that 'court orders' are subject to the Bills Of Exchange Act which was inherited from the British Legal System and that if you issue a 'court order' against the corporation "MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN" incorporated on 11/1/1964 I will give you a bill for that order which will be more than you will earn in your lifetime. The corporate entity "MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN" incorporated on 11/1/1964 has a debt to me of 10 million troy ounces of 99.99% pure gold. I would love to recover some of that debt from you via this transmitting utility.

You may also wish to be informed that I have noticed the Attorney General, Robert McClelland, and told him that I am holding him responsible for the actions of his employees. I have also asked Robert McClelland to nominate his employee who is authorised to re-present the private run for profit company called The Australian Federal Magistrates Court in this matter.

So, David Dunkley, if you are wishing to put yourself forward as the employee who is willing to re-present yourself as the authorised re-representative of the private run for profit company called The Australian Federal Magistrates Court in this matter I require of you an affidavit, signed under oath, penalty of perjury and full commercial liability that you are willing to do so. Without that, I have no business with you nor do you have business with me. If you step into the realm of business with me without such affidavit then you can be pretty sure that you will wind up in front of a de jour court one day. What you do is up to you. You will be held responsible for your actions.

The human being called Louise Henderson is currently the said employee re-representative as she has involved herself in the theft of my property. You have to choose for yourself if you wish to involve yourself in a matter where a common law crime has been committed and you may become an accessory to that crime.

Obviously, sending you a letter like this to warn you of the mess that you may be getting yourself into is a big favour. I am sure your colleagues have not warned you of this. And if you think that is not bad enough, you might want to know that we are planning to warn and notice people in the legal fraternity of the ongoing Genocide that they are accessories to. We are asking people in the legal fraternity to speak out and warn the Australian people of this genocide. Those who do not will, if we win the war against the Illuminati, be charged with conspiracy to Genocide and accessory after the fact to Genocide. I'm pretty sure that most de jour juries will put people like you away for life for that crime. After all, people like you have been putting fathers in jail for not paying child support which is a voluntary payment. I think once the Australian people realise that men like you have been jailing men like me for no reason other than being able to deceive them that men like you are going to be put away for a long, long, long time.

Now. In my first letter to Lousie Henderson I included a Notice of Methods of Communication. I will also include a copy at the end of this fax. Should you wish to involve yourself please use the instructions in that notice.

Should you wish to remain out of this particular argument then a personal fax from you to me at the fax number above, along with my confirmation of receipt in reply will indemnify your good self from any action from me on the basis you have written to me and told me you do not want to get involved and I have confirmed receipt of your reply. I will not persue lawful action against someone who has said that they do not want to be involved in crimes committed against me and do not want to become accessories to those crimes.

Lastly, the letter sent to me from Amanda Morris talks of something called a 'Final Hearing' and talks that it is 'listed before' you. Should you wish to involve yourself, I suggest you read the Notice to Louise Henderson where I note that should I not receive notice that this proposed meeting has been cancelled by November 7th I will purchase travel from London to Australia and I will bill Louise Henderson or the nomiated re-representative personally under common law for my time and expenses. Again, it will be very expensive. So if you are wishing Amanda Morris and Louise Henderson to conspire to create the situation where you incurr a large liability go ahead. If I were you, I'd take responsiibiity for your own affairs and not let other people 'drop you in it' so to speak.

I wish you a good day.

To all of the human beings who call themselves

Amanda Morris,
Louise Henderson,
David Dunkley.

I wish to note that your professionalism and business practices are of the poorest possible standard and would generally not be acceptable in the private sector of companies in the land commonly known as Australia. Perhaps you would wish to improve them.

That you try and 'lord it over people' by pretending to be some sort of 'authoritative government department' and masquerading as a 'justice system' does not fool those of us who know your true remit.

In business in Australia it is customary that if you make an offer of services to someone that you talk with them and explain the offer and propose your services and disclose the costs of those services. This so called 'Final Hearing' that Amanda Morris mentions? I have no information as to what you are proposing be the subject matter of this meeting and no information as to the proposed benefits I might derive from this meeting. Therefore I am not accepting any invitation to any such meeting until such time as you perform the normal business processes of presenting your offer, your proposed benefits, and your proposed fees.

The disbursement of the proceeds of sale of the property known as '8 Schofield Pde, Pennant Hills, NSW, 2120' are not up for discussion as I have a higher claim to those proceeds that does your private run for profit company. That your private run for profit company now holds those proceeds by way of theft does not entitle your company to a say in dispersing them. Possession may be 9/10th of the law. But the other 1/10th can not be ignored. That is the 1/10th that will put people in jail for theft.

That you collude with your partners in crime commonly known as Family Law lawyers (such as Watts McCray) to perpetrate the deception on people that they are being effectively re-presented by the lawyers to the courts merely compounds the hideousness of your business practices and ethics.

Those of us who know your true remit, to be the extortion arm of the Illuminati by doing such things as incarcerating men who have committed no crime other than to be gullible and to believe that criminals such as yourselves are operating in good faith, are not impressed. Not impressed at all.

When the time comes, we will offer amnesty to those who will testify and assist us incarcerate those of your colleagues who will not take the amnesty. And I will say this. I personally am not in favour of the amnesty that will be proposed. I think you all belong in jail. However, I can see the argument that if we do not make an offer of amnesty it may well be that you and your colleagues will feel so trapped you may unleash your policy officers with weapons (also known as police) and you may start shooting and killing innocent Australian men who wish nothing more than to see you incarcerated for your crimes.

So, an amnesty it will be. Each of you, and you may want to start discussing with your colleagues, might want to start thinking about which side you are going to choose when the amnesty is offered.

Are you going to continue supporting and protecting your government who is killing large numbers of Australian people and wants to kill many more?

Or will you side with the Australian people and inform them of the efforts to kill and oppress them and assist them free themselves from the tyranny the Illuminati has placed on them?

For me it would not be a difficult choice. But it seems that lawyers are very corrupt and very much wish to retain their position as the front line oppressors and deceivers for the Illuminati.

For example. I asked Justin Dowd the same question. He even charged me about \$A3,000 to read my evidence of the Genocide. He has chosen to side with the Illuminati. I have chosen to make sure he will be charged for accessory after the fact to Genocide. For some reason he's not opening my emails any more..LOL!!!

So, yes, we do know about the genocide, we do know about the legal fraternities position in it, we do know about the fraud of the legal system. It is all going to come out into the open, much of it has already.

We are starting with the Family Law section of the legal fraternity because it is the most corrupt section. But we will get to the other sections soon enough. Now is a terrible, terrible time to be in the legal fraternity because the Illuminati are not going to have any use for you if they win, and the Australian people will not have any use for you if they win. All of you in the legal profession who are not in jail are going to be burger flippers. I am pretty sure that the common law de jour courts will relieve you of all your property since it has been gained by fraud. I would guess they will give it to the people you defrauded.

The game is on people. Those of us who are honest men of honour and integrity propose to bring down your little criminal cartel. And we don't see how you are going to stop that without giving the game away by starting to shoot people.

I notice you have already started kidnapping people and imprisoning them using the lie they are 'mentally ill'. Stalin and his soviet police forces would be proud of how the Australian Legal System has emulated their ways. It is no exaggeration to say that under Howard and Rudd Australia has lurched forward into being a police state. That your colleagues stole my property unlawfully is a good demonstration of just how much a police state Australia has become. Well, you picked on the wrong guy to abuse.

The ball is in play, the game is on. It will be fierce. We will take no prisoners. If you do not like that we are responding to your crimes then you should have thought twice about committing them.

We shall see how it all turns out.

Best Regards

Peter-Andrew: Nolan©
Human Being
Primary Creditor and Secured Party for
Juristic person MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN
All rights reserved, waiving none, without prejudice