
The short story is that my agreement to waive my property rights were achieved by deceit and fraud in the act of hiring a family law lawyer. It came as a surprise to me that merely hiring a lawyer was considered, by the state, to be a person waiving all their rights. This is not widely known in the Australian public and I am confident when this fact is put before the Australian people they will not only be infuriated they will consider any such action as contract fraudulent due to non-disclosure.

I was not told that the act of hiring a lawyer waived my property rights nor was it included in any documentation. I was also not told that lawyers, judges, registrars and magistrates all took an oath to always serve the best interests of the private company known as the Australian Law Society which in turn, is owned by the British Law Society. I had imagined that they were obliged to serve my best interests. Certainly they presented themselves in this manner.

I have been intensely studying all things related to the control grid put in place these last 18 months. Something that I have found very interesting is this. The oath taken by members of the 'Law Society' to the Australian Law Society which is part of the British Law Society makes all such sworn people guilty of treason and sworn to serve a foreign power. They are also protecting those others who are committing genocide of the Australian people through the food chain and medical systems. We are well aware of such agents as fluoride, aspartame, BPA, mercury and aluminum in such things as water, foods, deodorants and cooking utensils that are shortening peoples lives. This means that these people in the 'Australian Law Society' who wear 'uniforms' have chosen to be uniformed 'officers' with sworn allegiance to a foreign power that is killing the civilian population with 'undue pain and suffering'.

This is really interesting because my reading of the Geneva Conventions on warfare makes it quite clear that protected person status in wartime for the occupying force only extends to those who wear uniforms identifying themselves clearly as members of the occupying force and they do not kill people with 'undue pain and suffering'. The articles are quite clear that protect person status does NOT apply to people who are agents of a foreign power who are not clearly marked as such by wearing an identifying uniform or if they are involved in killing the local population with 'undue pain and suffering'.

This situation can be interpreted on a well justified basis to note that assassination of such people is not unlawful under the Geneva Conventions. The Geneva Conventions are mysteriously silent on the question of lawful assassination of members of an occupying force and under what conditions this action may be considered 'lawful'. The Geneva Conventions limit themselves to statements of the conditions under which members of the occupying forces are given 'protected person' status.

Now, isn't that a fascinating little aside? It seems to me, on the basis of a great deal of research, that members of the Australian Law Society can be lawfully assassinated should the 'War of Fathers' that is going on in Australia actually be openly declared by those fathers and then formally prosecuted as a declared war.

Now. I am about to assassinate anyone. I am a peaceful man and am offering the hand of peace to remedy an existing situation. I just thought that the two of you might want to know where my research has led me. Yes, I do make sure that plenty of other people get copies of my letters before I send them to you. I wouldn't like you to kill me and not have all my papers properly disseminated.

So. Kevin and Robert. Back to my personal situation. The proceeds of my 27 years of labour, namely my house, was stolen from me by Registrar Johnston of your Goulburn Sydney Office by way of court order issued against my explicit written non-consent. I have billed Registrar Johnston \$A5.5M for his order but he seems reluctant to pay it. I would be pleased if you would organize to pay this lawful Bill. If it is not paid immediately your colleague Registrar Johnston will be charged with common law theft, and not just charged with a Bill. We all know your 'money' is fake and that to give me \$A5.5M actually costs your masters nothing.

Following on from this sale of my house against my consent a human being calling herself Louise Henderson also acting as a 'Federal Magistrate' out of the Parramatta office involved herself in the theft of my property by retaining funds from my house and placing them with Watts McCray pending what she called a 'Final Hearing'. I have issued the proper lawful notices asking Louise Henderson to prove she had lawful right to do this and she has chosen to go into lawful dishonour and not reply and not return my property. As a result, I have charged her under common law for the crime of theft. We are in the process of creating a de jour jury to try Louise Henderson. With a little luck we will put her in jail. Sure, the odds are not high but it is a very worthwhile exercise to try and judge one of your criminal so called 'magistrates'.

After Louise's involvement a human being calling himself David Dunkley seems to have taken want to involve himself. I attended a private meeting with David Dunkley without consent, under the duress of believing he intended to plunder and pillage my property like the pirate he is. The video of this private meeting is on the enclosed DVD. David behaved very poorly in this meeting continually refusing to answer my questions as his master and he my servant. It is quite clear from the video that I made many polite offers to engage in discussion with David as long as my inalienable rights remained in tact. It is quite clear he refused agree to discussion while my rights remained in tact and it is quite clear he refused to answer the question as to whether he was operating under oath. This is going to be very embarrassing to the two of you personally when it becomes known that you are fully aware of the behaviour of your criminal so called 'magistrates' and that you are doing nothing about it. Try getting voted in again as we spread the word about that!

I suggest you talk with David as to the image his performance presents to the Australian people as the video is being given to the men in the Australian Free Man movement to confirm his actions. I will also be releasing the transcript of the conversation for the Australian people to read should they choose.

I have included a number of documents in soft copy for you so that you do not need to go to the trouble of contacting the people involved to gain copies. I have included them as soft copies to 'save a tree'. They are all scans and un-adulterated and I have the originals or copies of the originals in many cases.

I would insist that the two of you, as my servants, ensure that you deal with your employees appropriately. Should you choose not to uphold your oaths to serve the Australian people you will find yourselves in a similar 'sticky' situation attached to the 'tar-baby'. I have no hesitation in naming names and going through the common law processes in order to bring the two of you before de jour juries to be tried as accessories after the fact for crimes committed.

I have been working on this for some time and I am most peeved at the intransigence of my servants in the 'public service'. You have some time to redeem yourselves in both my eyes and the eyes of others who are watching my efforts with some interest. It shall be interesting to see how you perform.

And Kevin/Robert, we do know all about the planned New World Order, microchipped population, cashless society living in the 'utopia' of a completely controlled society. I say 'no' to that. Other men can do as they wish. As a sovereign you cannot force your 'utopia' on me. It will be interesting to see if HG Wells prediction will come true:

"Millions will die protesting the New World Order."

I wish you well in your deliberations as to what you will do gentlemen.

Best Regards



Peter-Andrew: Nolan©
Human Being
Primary Creditor and Secured Party for
Juristic person MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN
All rights reserved, waiving none, without prejudice

Attachments on DVD.

1. Video of the private meeting between David Dunkley and Sarah Bevan on November 26th.
2. BILL2009111301 David Dunkley.pdf
3. BILL2009112701 David Dunkley.pdf
4. L2009112501 David Dunkley V0.1.pdf
5. L2009112501 Louise Henderson V0.1.pdf
6. L2009112701 David Dunkley.pdf
7. PAN-DJ For Payment of Fee for House.pdf
8. PAN-DJ For Payment of Fees.pdf
9. PAN-DJ For Payment of Loan.pdf
10. PAN-DJ For Proceeds of House Plus Theft.pdf
11. Certificate of Sovereignty.pdf
12. Deed of Evidence.pdf
13. Hold Harmless Agreement.pdf
14. PN_Affidavit_Intent_Claim_of_Right.pdf
15. Security Agreement.pdf
16. UCC1 - Financing Statement.pdf

I have also included hard copies of documents passed to Louise Henderson and David Dunkley as I do not have these in separate scans.