

From the free man commonly called
Peter-Andrew: Nolan©,
Standing in God's Kinadom

XX
XX
XX
XX
XX

To:

Robert McClelland, acting as The Honourable Robert McClelland
Attorney-General
Attorney-General's Department
Central Office
3-5 National Circuit
BARTON
Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 2600
Australia

PA-McClelland: Nolan © 22/10/09

Lawful Notice To Respondent:
Robert McClelland, acting as The Honourable Robert McClelland
Attorney-General
Attorney-General's Department
Central Office
3-5 National Circuit
BARTON
Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 2600
Australia

FIAT JUSTITIA, RUAT COELUM
Let Right Be Done, Though The Heavens Should Fall

*Notice to principal is notice to agent.
Notice to agent is notice to principal.*

I, commonly addressed by the calling of Peter-Andrew: Nolan©, hereinafter the "Principal", in my correct public capacity as beneficiary to the Original Jurisdiction, being of majority in age, competent to testify, a self realized and free sentient man, my yes be yes, my no be no, do state that the truths and facts herein are of first hand personal knowledge, true, correct, complete, not just true and correct, certain and not misleading, so help me God.

This Notice is addressed to the the human being calling self Robert McClelland, acting as The Honourable Robert McClelland hereinafter known as "Respondent".

**AFFIDAVIT WITH RESPECT TO
ORDER FOR SALE OF
8 SCHOFIELD PDE PENNANT HILLS
BETWEEN
JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN
AND
PETER ANDREW NOLAN**

1. Principal is of legal age and competent to testify.
2. Principal has first hand knowledge of the facts stated herein.
3. Principal makes oath that he has received an order dated the 16th of June 2008 issued by juristic person known as Judicial Registrar Johnston of a Family Court dispute brought by JENNIFER MARGUERITE NOLAN with respect to the sale of the house located at 8 SCHOFIELD PDE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120. (Copy Supplied).
4. Principal makes oath that at the time of this Order solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, had at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that engaging a solicitor declared Principal a 'ward of the state'.


Affidavit With Respect to Non-Response of Subordinates Page 2 of 7

5. Principal makes oath that at no time did the solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, provide written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that the loyalty of Watts McCray and engaged solicitors was to the Law Society of Australia and the Family Court by OATH and that they were acting in the best interests of the Law Society of Australia and were not acting in the best interests of Principal.
6. Principal makes oath that at the time of this Order solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, had at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that they may, at their discretion, without informing Principal, engage in side conversation with other members of the Australian Law Society to create circumstances beneficial to the Australian Law Society which would also precipitate circumstances detrimental to Principal.
7. Principal makes oath that at the time of this Order solicitors for Principal, Watts McCray, had at no time provided written documentation, nor made verbal comment, to the effect that they had a clear conflict of interest in that as members of the Australian Law Society and under OATH to serve the best interests of that society their loyalty to the Australian Law Society conflicted with the interests of Principal.
8. Principal makes oath that on the day of March 7th, 2008, Principal met with representatives of Watts McCray. Principal makes oath that he had serious concerns as to the poor performance of Watts McCray in his estimation, specifically with respect of refusal to pass along requested communications, and specifically challenged the effectiveness of representation.
9. Principal makes oath that representatives of Watts McCray made many verbal comments to the effect that they were working to represent Principals interests 'effectively'.
10. Principal makes oath that a Watts McCray representative (Jacqueline Vincent) used words to the effect 'do you have confidence in our firm to represent you most effectively to the court?' in asking Principal specifically if he had confidence that Watts McCray would effectively represent Principals best interests.
11. Principal makes oath that he was under the impression that every effort was being made by Watts McCray representatives to assure him his best interests were being represented.
12. Principal makes oath that he felt at that meeting that there was 'something wrong' and that some deception was being perpetrated upon him however he could not comprehend what that deception might be.
13. Principal makes oath that at that time he was well aware that under commercial law any contract or any performance of duties that are performed in deception or as a result of deception are null and void and can be redressed via legal processes at a later date. Therefore Principal determined that the course of action to pursue was to allow the deception to stand to continue with the engagement of Watts McCray and deal with the deception at a later point in time.
14. Principal makes oath that when he was asked to consent to the sale of his property that he gave clear and unambiguous written instruction to Watts McCray that he did not consent to the sale of his property.

 22/10/09

15. Principal makes oath that his property was subsequently sold without his consent subsequent to an order issued by Judicial Registrar Johnston. (Copy enclosed.)
16. Principal makes oath that he has proposed remedy for what he sincerely believes was the unlawful sale of Principals property because it was achieved by deceit. This remedy is a bill for the order placed to the value of TEN(10) times the proceeds of the order. Namely \$A5.5M.
17. Principal makes oath that he has written to
- The human being calling him/her self Judicial Registrar Johnston
also acting as the judicial person known as Judicial Registrar Johnston
Garfield Barwick Commonwealth Law Courts Building
1-3 George St, Parramatta NSW 2150
GPO Box 9991 Parramatta 2123
Australia
- In a peaceful and lawful attempt to enter into lawful exchange of Notices to address the issue of unlawful sale of property achieved by deciet. Principal makes oath that no known response has been forthcoming. (Copies enclosed)
18. Principal makes oath that he has written to
- The human being calling herself Louise Henderson
also acting as the Federal Magistrate Louise Henderson
Garfield Barwick Commonwealth Law Courts Building
1-3 George St, Parramatta NSW 2150
GPO Box 9991 Parramatta 2123
Australia
- In a peaceful and lawful attempt to enter into lawful exchange of Notices to address the issue of unlawful sale of property achieved by deciet. Principal makes oath that no known response has been forthcoming. (Copy supplied.)
19. Principal makes oath that he has filed a UCC 1 Financing Statement to become the Primary Creditor and Secured Party of the corporation known as MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN incorporated on 11/1/1964.
20. Principal makes oath that he sincerely believes that he has higher claim to all the property of the corporation known as MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN incorporated on 11/1/1964.than has the private run for profit corporation known as the Australian Federal Magistrates Court on the basis of being the Primary Creditor and Secured Party for that corporation. (Copy of UCC 1 Financing Statement Enclosed)
21. Principal makes oath that he wishes to engage in lawful exchange of notices and in a professional and peacefull manner such that this issue of the unlawful sale of property be dealt with.

Notice of Intent and Proposed Remedy

This section of this document provides a Notice of Intent and Proposed Remedy inviting the Respondent to peacefully and without dispute cordially resolve the matter at hand, that being the distribution of funds from the proceeds of the sale of the house known as 8 SCHOFIELD PARADE PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120.

The two parties with whom the Principal has attempted lawful and peaceful communication have (the be best of Principals knowledge) chosen to go into lawful dis-honour. It is this Principals wish that this current situation be resolved peacefully and expediently.

The Respondent, acting in the role of Attorney-General for the corporation known as The Commonwealth of Australia carries responsibilities and obligations to ensure that subordinates meet their legal and lawful obligations or are penalised according to accepted norms of common law.

Those two parties being:

1. Judicial Registrar Johnston
2. Federal Magistrate Louise Henderson

It is this Principals wish to engage in lawful conversation parties responsible and accountable to the Respondent in order to resolve this current matter of the unlawful appropriation of the property of the corporation MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN incorporated on 11/1/1964 which is fully owned and due to the human being Peter-Andrew: Nolan(c), Principal, by way of Principal being the Primary Creditor and Secured Party for MR. PETER ANDREW NOLAN incorporated on 11/1/1964.

It is this Principals wish that the Respondent respond to this lawful notice to nominate himself, or delegated parties, whom he will hold accountable for the proper and appropriate completion of the matter at hand.

The time allocated for response to this lawful notice is TWENTY(20) days from the date of the issuance of the Lawful Notice.

 22/10/09
Affidavit With Respect to Non-Response of Subordinates Page 6 of 7

Should the Respondent be unable or unwilling to enter into peaceful and productive exchange of lawful notices or unable or unwilling to nominate an authorised re-presentatives to enter into peaceful and productive exchange of lawful notices in the TWENTY(20) days allocated the following remedy is proposed.

1. A penalty of one troy ounce of 99.99% pure gold per day be applied for dis-honour and non-response. (The Attorney General is the highest office in the land for this matter and this Principal expects the Respondent to meet his obligations in ensuring his subordinates act lawfully in a timely manner.)
2. Principal to convene a dejour Jury to hear the facts of the matter and the dejour Jury to pass judgement on the Respondent for failure to exercise his obligations to ensure his employees act in accordance with the common law of the land called Australia.
3. All said proceeds of the property known as 8 Schofield Pde, Pennant Hills, NSW, 2650, Australia, some \$A293,000 currently held in a controlled account at Watts McCray (contact Justin Dowd) to be transferred to a bank account of the Principals choosing in a default judgement.
4. All time of Principal, and all incurred expenses, which are required to be expended in order to persue authorised re-presentatives communicate with Principal in an exchange of lawful notices will be billed directly to Respondent as the authorised re-representative responsible for ensuring timely response to lawful notices of his subordinates.

Principal advises Respondent that non-response is considered dis-honour under law and that Principal reserves the right to generate default judgments or convene a court dejour to persue remedy should Respondent choose to go into dis-honour. Non response will be considered acquiescence.

Yours Sincerely
By

Peter-Andrew: Nolan©
Human Being

Signed



All Rights, Privileges and Powers Reserved
Without Prejudice

Date: 22/10/09