

Crennan's Dark Secret

What do these two women have in common?

Sitemap

Edit sidebar

What do these two women have in common?

High Court Judge
Susan Crennan



Meredith McClelland
(aka Pat Magill)



What does Australia's High Court Judge- Susan Crennan & Meredith McClelland aka Pat (Meredith) Magill have in common?

Both these women cheated on their spouses Both these women had children to their secret lovers. Both these women lied to their families and caused them enormous pain and suffering

Susan Crennan sat and judged the High Profile Liam Magill Australian Paternity Fraud case appeal in Australia's Highest Court in 2006. The High Court found in FAVOR of mother Meredith. Any other decision would have been a decision against Susan Crennan

Susan Crennan failed to declare her glaring conflict and she perverted the course of justice. She was deceptive and dishonest. Meredith also knew she had been deceptive and dishonest. **These 2 women have a lot in common**

1 in 5 men in Australia who question the paternity of their children will have their suspicions validated by DNA testing.

DNA before you pay

Liam Magill, Paternity Fraud, Conflict of Interest, Susan Crennan, High Court of Australia

Cheryl King - Anti Paternity Fraud Advocate - Supporter of Liam Magill

Box 685 Deepdene 3103 Victoria Australia. Ph 0416 031145 Int 61416031145

Google Key Words : Liam Magill – Susan Crennan– Conflict of Interest – Paternity Fraud

WHAT ABOUT THIS!

The following information is based on the authors' opinion and produced in the public interest

As you have read overleaf, we ought to show our gratitude to the Appeals Court for having the courage to be mindful of airing such an important, well established and moth balled Legal Principle. The striking similarities of the above case to the case of Magill v. Magill needs special consideration.

IN SUMMARY: In the case of Magill v. Magill (county court case) the cuckold father attempted to redress the deceit (cheated on her husband and gave birth to someone else's children). The father won his case however lawyers representing his wife free of charge (pro bono?) appealed to the Supreme Court [case] which reversed the decision of the lower court. This latter decision was then taken to the High Court Case [226 CLR 551 (2006)] in good faith, not knowing that one of the judges allegedly committed the exact same mischief. The Judge was involved in an almost identical deceitful situation years previously, in her own life. He learnt of this important information of the alleged betrayal 3 years later.



HIGH COURT JUDGE SUSAN CRENNAN

Compare this deviant situation to that of the case presented overleaf. Apart from names and circumstances, this is the same bias that should have allegedly alerted Crennan of her untenable position to sit on the Magill case ... i.e. to declare a conflict of interest and should have stood down or withdrawn from hearing the appeal to the High Court... Obligatio ex male ficio!

It appears that Courts and the judiciary are unable to rule themselves in a proper legal fashion where the fundamentals of the rule of law are weakened, omitted, and even abused. Crucial to a law abiding society is a legal system which maintains and upholds public confidence towards it. The public can not have any confidence in the judges or the law when these principles are totally ignored!

SECRETIVE EXCHANGES BETWEEN THE HEAD OF THE HIGH COURT AND THE FEDERAL ATTORNEY GENERAL!

A Freedom of Information Request also revealed that there had been secretive correspondence between the Federal Attorney General, The Hon Robert McClelland MP (a lawyer at heart) and the Chief Judge of the High Court Robert S. French. The correspondence clearly shows that the Chief Judge had acted extrajudicially (outside the normal course of judicial procedure), diluting any seriousness of the 'perception of bias' argument, or, as he puts it "... alleged conflict of interest which it is said should have caused Justice Susan Crennan to decline to sit on the appeal ...".

Judicial behaviour, credibility, moral integrity, conduct, prejudice or prejudgement, apprehension of bias, accountability, ability, personal history (in the interests of trust in the justice system) have been rightly questioned by numerous eminent members of society.

For further information and to read full text of documents and malfeasance
<http://sites.google.com/site/crennansdarksecret/>

WHAT YOU CAN DO:

Every citizen is entitled to natural justice. That Mr. Magill has been shamelessly and unlawfully been denied his rights and privileges it is clear. The public are asked to write to their Attorney Generals, the Chief Judge of the High Court, your State & Federal MP and other interest groups, requesting that this case be reopened. Hopefully, one of them sits up to take notice and have the Magill appeal to the High Court Re:Opened!



'It's a judgement by default from the county court.'

Her Excellency Ms Quentin Bryce
Governor-General of the
Commonwealth of Australia
Government House
Dunrossil Drive
YARRALUMLA ACT 2600
Tel: (02) 6283 3533
Fax: (02) 6281 3760
governor-general@gg.gov.au

The Hon. Alex Chernov AO, QC
Governor General of Victoria
Government House
GOVERNMENT HOUSE DRIVE,
MELBOURNE VIC 3004
T: 03 9655 4211
F: 03 9650 9050

Robert McClelland MP
Federal Attorney-General
Parliament House Office
Parliament House Canberra ACT
2600 Tel: (02) 6277 7300
Fax: (02) 6273 4102
Email: attorney@ag.gov.au
Electorate Office Shop C, No. 1
Office Butler Road (access via

Ormonde Pde) Hurstville NSW
2220 Postal Address:
PO Box 861 Hurstville NSW 2220
Tel: (02) 9585 9100
Fax: (02) 9585 9200
**

Robert Clark MP
Attorney-General Victoria

24 Rutland Road,
BOX HILL Vic 3128
Phone: (03) 9898 6606
Fax: (03) 9890 7180
Email: robert.clark@parliament.vic.gov.au
Ministerial Office:
Level 26/121 Exhibition Street
MELBOURNE Vic 3000.
Phone: (03) 8684 1101
Fax: (03) 8684 1100